

APPENDIX **D**

SAV Depth, Area and
Water Quality Data Used
and Details of Statistical
Analysis Performed

SAV AREA BY DEPTH AND WEIGHTED MEAN DEPTH

SAV area by depth data were examined to see if they were correlated with water quality data. This was done by generating depth contours at 0.5, 1 and 2 meters MLLW and overlaying SAV polygons on them. The SAV area within four depth ranges was calculated for each Chesapeake Bay Program segment and year, and the areas were converted to percentages by dividing each by the total area in that segment and multiplying by 100. The depth ranges, area variables and percent variables for each are listed in Table D-1.

These areas were also used to calculate a weighted mean SAV depth for each segment and year (SAVDEP). This was done with the usual formula for weighted mean, multiplying the area in each depth range by the midpoint of each range, summing them and dividing their sum by the total area:

$$\text{SAVDEP} = \frac{[(\text{AREA05}) * 0.25 + (\text{AREA1}) * 0.75 + (\text{AREA2}) * 1.5 + (\text{AREAGT2}) * 2.5]}{(\text{Total SAV area})}$$

Since the Area > 2 category has no upper bound, 2.5 meters was chosen as the midpoint, assuming that very little of the mapped SAV was growing in water more than 3 meters deep MLLW. This assumption was based on ground truth observations that SAV is rarely found below this depth and the limited ability to see below this depth in aerial photos taken in the normally turbid Chesapeake. This mean depth was used in Spearman rank correlations with water quality parameters, along with the four percentages in different depth categories.

SAV AREA DATA AND GROWTH CATEGORIES

SAV area by Chesapeake Bay Program segment came from the Chesapeake Bay SAV aerial survey. The latest table of hectares by segment by year was downloaded from the VIMS web page (<http://www.vims.edu/bio>). SAV growth categories were used for some analyses, which represented average conditions over all years with SAV area data. For the York and Choptank rivers, the same 'Persistent,' 'Fluctuating' and 'None' categories were used that were used before (Batiuk *et al.* 1992). These categories were based on observations of the persistence over time of either natural or transplanted SAV near the monitoring stations. For the Chesapeake Bay SAV Aerial Survey data, the three different categories for SAV area by USGS quad were applied to SAV area by CBP segment instead of quad, using 1978-97 SAV hectares by segment by year. The three categories were expanded to five and were considered equivalent to the categories used in Batiuk *et al.* (1992), as show in Table D-2.

Adding two more categories to the ones defined by Hagy (unpublished data) helped separate the 'best' and 'worst' segments from the others. These were the 'Always Abundant' and 'Always None' categories respectively. The results of this analysis for each Chesapeake Bay Program segment are shown in Table D-3.

WATER QUALITY DATA USED

Data used for SAV habitat requirements were from surface samples (Layer = 'S') from selected Chesapeake Bay Water Quality stations in each segment.

TABLE D-1. SAV depth ranges and variable names.

| Depth range | Area variable | Percent variable |
|----------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Less than 0.5 meters deep | AREA05 | PCT05 |
| 0.5-1 meter deep | AREA1 | PCT1 |
| 1-2 meters deep | AREA2 | PCT2 |
| Greater than 2 meters deep | AREAGT2 | PCTGT2 |

TABLE D-2. Five categories used for characterizing SAV growth status by segment based on 1978-1997 aerial survey data.

| New category (based on aerial survey data) | Criteria used for category (using SAV area by year by segment) | SAV TSI growth category (based on transplant success) |
|---|---|--|
| Always Abundant (AA) | Minimum > 200 ha | Persistent |
| Always Some (AS) | Minimum > 0 | Persistent |
| Sometimes None (SN) | Minimum = 0 and Median > 0 | Fluctuating |
| Usually None (UN) | Median = 0 and Maximum > 0 | None |
| Always None (AN) | Maximum = 0 | None |

When there was more than one station per segment, stations that were too far from SAV were dropped from the analysis (Table D-5). Nearshore data collected in the York and Choptank rivers for the first SAV Technical Synthesis (Batiuk *et al.* 1992) were also used. Volunteer monitoring data were not used because they were only available for a few years and segments.

Data were used only from the SAV growing seasons: April-October for tidal fresh, oligohaline and mesohaline regimes and March-May and September-November in polyhaline. Raw data from all stations used in each segment were used for the Wilcoxon test. Monthly means were not calculated since each month had two samples (where sampling is twice a month) and this would reduce the sample size. For consistency, light attenuation (K_d) was calculated from $K_d = 1.45/\text{Secchi}$ even if K_d data from light measurements were available.

TESTING ATTAINMENT OF HABITAT REQUIREMENTS

The attainment of SAV habitat requirements was tested by segment or station and year with the Wilcoxon one-sample test, using the difference between each observation and the habitat requirement for that salinity regime as the data for the test. A custom SAS program to perform the test was written for

this application (see Appendix C). When done by segment, data from all the stations used in that segment were used for the test without any averaging, so the sample size was larger if there were more stations. The results were classified in three categories using a two-tailed significance level (P) of 0.05:

- Met: median was significantly below the requirement
- Borderline: median did not differ significantly from requirement
- Not met: median was significantly above the requirement

This test was more sensitive to the consistency of the differences (positive or negative) than to their magnitude.

Tidal range data were used to adjust the restoration depth (Z). This number is critical to both PLW and PLL calculations since it determines the path length for light passing through the water, and thus how much the light is attenuated passing through the water. For any two sites, the one with greater tidal range will on average have more water above the 1 meter depth contour which is referenced to MLLW, the bottom elevation for the tidal range used (semi-diurnal or greater tropic range).

TABLE D-3. New CBP segments classified according to SAV growth category (GROWTH) using 1978-1997 SAV area data: MAX = maximum, MED = median, MIN = minimum (hectares).

| SEGMENT | SAVH | MAX SAVH | MED SAVH | MIN | GROWTH | SEGMENT | SAVH | MAX SAVH | MED SAVH | MIN | GROWTH |
|---------|---------|----------|----------|-----|--------|---------|---------|----------|----------|-----|--------|
| APPTF | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | | MPNOH | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | |
| BACOH | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | | MPNTF | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | |
| BIGMH | 192.12 | 156.98 | 0 | SN | | NANMH | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | |
| BOHOH | 15.09 | 1.67 | 0 | SN | | NANOH | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | |
| BSHOH | 39.04 | 0.26 | 0 | SN | | NANTF | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | |
| C&DOH | 0.62 | 0 | 0 | UN | | NORTF | 7.96 | 0.17 | 0 | SN | |
| CB1TF | 2714.04 | 2076.51 | 833.98 | AA | | PATMH | 48.96 | 0 | 0 | UN | |
| CB2OH | 127.49 | 17.69 | 4.02 | AS | | PAXMH | 53.74 | 1.27 | 0 | SN | |
| CB3MH | 554.83 | 327.82 | 22.21 | AS | | PAXOH | 40.08 | 0 | 0 | UN | |
| CB4MH | 102.57 | 5.63 | 0 | SN | | PAXTF | 63.93 | 0 | 0 | UN | |
| CB5MH | 1666.81 | 751.63 | 275.12 | AA | | PIAMH | 438.2 | 143.16 | 10.23 | AS | |
| CB6PH | 512.84 | 367.43 | 241.92 | AA | | PISTF | 319.35 | 54.3 | 0 | SN | |
| CB7PH | 4597.91 | 3442.21 | 2452.12 | AA | | PMKOH | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | |
| CB8PH | 4.4 | 0 | 0 | UN | | PMKTF | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | |
| CHKOH | 89.17 | 0 | 0 | UN | | POCMH | 783.8 | 597.92 | 87.3 | AS | |
| CHOMH1 | 2792.59 | 1168.68 | 57.75 | AS | | POCOH | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | |
| CHOMH2 | 94.31 | 0 | 0 | UN | | POCTF | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | |
| CHOOH | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | | POTMH | 666.84 | 109.42 | 43.12 | AS | |
| CHOTF | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | | POTOH | 1501.15 | 1121.46 | 217.09 | AA | |
| CHSMH | 1050.3 | 309.18 | 32.45 | AS | | POTTF | 1874.69 | 1209.05 | 0 | SN | |
| CHSOH | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | | RHDMH | 5.92 | 0 | 0 | UN | |
| CHSTF | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | | RPPMH | 348.69 | 31.44 | 7.75 | AS | |
| CRRMH | 178.46 | 36.85 | 0 | SN | | RPPOH | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | |
| EASMH | 1848.32 | 781.91 | 67.93 | AS | | RPPTF | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | |
| ELIPH | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | | SASOH | 179.79 | 36.75 | 6.41 | AS | |
| ELKOH | 355.81 | 81.01 | 0.87 | AS | | SBEMH | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | |
| FSBMH | 25.88 | 1.32 | 0 | SN | | SEVMH | 133.78 | 0 | 0 | UN | |
| GUNOH | 637.36 | 74.95 | 0 | SN | | SOUMH | 20.59 | 0 | 0 | UN | |
| HNGMH | 1845.44 | 893.09 | 13.42 | AS | | TANMH | 7330.38 | 5094.61 | 2927.4 | AA | |
| JMSMH | 1.05 | 0 | 0 | UN | | WICMH | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | |
| JMSOH | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | | WSTMH | 46.65 | 0 | 0 | UN | |
| JMSPH | 75.74 | 3.68 | 0 | SN | | YRKMH | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | |
| JMSTF | 0 | 0 | 0 | AN | | YRKPH | 339.5 | 269.68 | 106.68 | AS | |
| LCHMH | 529.39 | 102.7 | 18.35 | AS | | | | | | | |
| LYNPH | 43.2 | 29.65 | 0 | SN | | | | | | | |
| MAGMH | 141.27 | 7.3 | 0 | SN | | | | | | | |
| MANMH | 156.74 | 90.73 | 0 | SN | | | | | | | |
| MATTF | 60.65 | 33.24 | 0 | SN | | | | | | | |
| MIDOH | 117.37 | 16.47 | 0 | SN | | | | | | | |
| MOBPH | 4465.86 | 4134.99 | 2736.2 | AA | | | | | | | |

The tidal range data used was obtained from the “benchmark” data on the NOAA home page: <http://www.opsd.nos.noaa.gov/bench.html>

The station listings for Maryland and Virginia on this web page include the number we want, MHHW elevation, which is the same as the semi-diurnal range or greater tropic range (MHHW-MLLW) since MLLW is zero in the NOAA benchmark data. However, there do not appear to be any benchmark MHHW data for the following rivers or areas:

Upper Western Shore Maryland-Bush, Gunpowder, Middle, Back rivers

Lower Western Shore Maryland-Rhode, West rivers and Patuxent above Solomons, Potomac-from Colonial Beach upriver to DC

Eastern Shore-Wicomico, Pocomoke rivers (Maryland)

VA Western shore-Rappahannock and York rivers above their mouths

The published commercial tide tables have data for at least one site in or near all of these rivers. However the tide table (Reed’s) lists the “spring range,” not the semi-diurnal range. The spring range in this table differs from the semi-diurnal range at the benchmark stations as follows:

1. Spring range > semi-diurnal range, south of a line running diagonally across the Bay, from

Fishing Bay (Eastern Shore) SW to Smith Point (just South of Potomac). Differences about 0.2-0.3 feet.

2. Semi-diurnal range > spring range, north of this line, differences about 0.1-0.5 feet (larger differences farther north).

To fill in the spatial gaps in benchmark data, we adjusted spring ranges to estimate semi-diurnal ranges. Since the relationship varies spatially, but has a strong positive correlation (R-square for linear regression was 78 percent), we adjusted the spring range to approximate the semi-diurnal range as follows: Estimated semi-diurnal range at site without benchmark data equal spring range at that site * (semi-diurnal range at nearest benchmark site/spring range at benchmark site).

For example, for the Gunpowder River, closest benchmark is Tolchester (Eastern shore mainstem), estimated semi-diurnal range = 1.4 ft * (1.74/1.4) = 1.74 ft., since spring range is the same at both sites; for West River, using South River benchmark, estimated semi-diurnal range = 1.0 * (1.48/1.1) = 1.35 ft. We used this method to estimate semi-diurnal range from spring range for one point near the middle of any segments that lacked benchmark data. If no spring range data were available (e.g. the Bush River) the closest point with spring range data was used. The resulting semi-diurnal tidal ranges in feet and half tidal range in meters are listed in Table D-4.

TABLE D-4. Semi-diurnal tidal range for 77 CBP segments, calculated from NOAA data.

| SEGMENT | Semi-diurnal range (ft) | Half range (meters) | SEGMENT | Semi-diurnal range (feet) | Half range (meters) |
|---------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| APPTF | 3.5475 | 0.54243 | MIDOH | 1.84333 | 0.28186 |
| BACOH | 1.58 | 0.24159 | MOBPH | 2.65 | 0.4052 |
| BIGMH | 2.16 | 0.33028 | MPNOH | 3.4428 | 0.52642 |
| BOHOH | 2.68 | 0.40979 | MPNTF | 3.9724 | 0.6074 |
| BSHOH | 1.99579 | 0.30517 | NANMH | 2.34 | 0.3578 |
| CB1TF | 2.37 | 0.36239 | NANOH | 2.17286 | 0.33224 |
| CB2OH | 1.74 | 0.26606 | NANTF | 2.50714 | 0.38336 |
| CB3MH | 1.72 | 0.263 | NORTF | 2.45667 | 0.37564 |
| CB4MH | 1.6 | 0.24465 | PATMH | 1.62 | 0.24771 |
| CB5MH | 1.41867 | 0.21692 | PAXMH | 1.51 | 0.23089 |
| CB6PH | 1.86 | 0.2844 | PAXOH | 2.32308 | 0.35521 |
| CB7PH | 2.53 | 0.38685 | PAXTF | 3.25231 | 0.49729 |
| CB8PH | 3.255 | 0.49771 | PIAMH | 1.26 | 0.19266 |
| CHKOH | 2.3876 | 0.36508 | PISTF | 2.968 | 0.45382 |
| CHOMH1 | 1.76 | 0.26911 | PMKOH | 2.7366 | 0.41844 |
| CHOMH2 | 2.05 | 0.31346 | PMKTF | 2.8248 | 0.43193 |
| CHOOH | 2.17059 | 0.33189 | POCMH | 2.555 | 0.39067 |
| CHOTF | 2.29118 | 0.35033 | POCOH | 2.64625 | 0.40463 |
| CHSMH | 2.15 | 0.32875 | POCTF | 1.825 | 0.27905 |
| CHSOH | 2.65588 | 0.4061 | POTMH | 1.755 | 0.26835 |
| CHSTF | 3.54118 | 0.54146 | POTOH | 1.3479 | 0.2061 |
| CRRMH | 1.44 | 0.22018 | POTTF | 2.57818 | 0.39422 |
| EASMH | 1.745 | 0.26682 | RHDMH | 1.43 | 0.21865 |
| EBEMH | 3.2455 | 0.49625 | RPPMH | 1.74 | 0.26606 |
| ELIMH | 3.15 | 0.48165 | RPPOH | 1.62 | 0.24771 |
| ELIPH | 2.9591 | 0.45246 | RPPTF | 1.98 | 0.30275 |
| ELKOH | 2.68 | 0.40979 | SASOH | 2.15 | 0.32875 |
| FSBMH | 2.36 | 0.36086 | SBEMH | 3.3 | 0.50459 |
| GUNOH | 1.84333 | 0.28186 | SEVMH | 1.43 | 0.21865 |
| HNGMH | 1.57333 | 0.24057 | SOUMH | 1.43 | 0.21865 |
| JMSMH | 2.75 | 0.42049 | TANMH | 2.04 | 0.31193 |
| JMSOH | 2.18 | 0.33333 | WBEMH | 2.9591 | 0.45246 |
| JMSPH | 2.8 | 0.42813 | WBRTF | 3.3 | 0.50459 |
| JMSTF | 2.15 | 0.32875 | WICMH | 2.42357 | 0.37058 |
| LAFMH | 2.9591 | 0.45246 | WSTMH | 1.3 | 0.19878 |
| LCHMH | 1.87733 | 0.28705 | YRKMH | 3.0014 | 0.45893 |
| LYNPH | 1.68 | 0.25688 | YRKPH | 2.645 | 0.40443 |
| MAGMH | 1.49 | 0.22783 | | | |
| MANMH | 2.16 | 0.33028 | | | |
| MATTF | 1.86632 | 0.28537 | | | |

TABLE D-5. Mainstem Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Monitoring Program stations used in analysis of the SAV habitat requirements.

| Segment | Stations used | Notes |
|----------------|---|--|
| CB1TF | CB1.1, CB2.1 | Only stations in segment, all used |
| CB2OH | CB2.2, CB3.1 | Only stations, all used |
| CB3MH | CB3.2, CB3.3W, CB3.3E | Dropped CB3.3C (see below) |
| CB4MH | CB4.1W, CB4.2E, CB4.3E, CB4.4 | Dropped all stations in center of Bay (ending in 'C') and all but one of the west ('W') stations, because they do not characterize SAV habitat |
| CB5MH | CB5.1, CB5.2, CB5.3, CB5.4, CB5.4W, CB5.5 | Only stations (none are very close to SAV habitat but no other data are available) |
| FSBMH | EE3.0 | Only station |
| TANMH | EE3.1, EE3.2 | EE3.4 dropped because it does not characterize SAV habitat |
| POCMH | EE3.3 | Only station in segment |
| WE4PH | WE4.1, WE4.2, WE4.3, WE4.4 | Only stations, all used |
| CB6PH | CB6.3 | CB6.1, CB6.2 and CB6.4 dropped because they do not characterize SAV habitat |
| CB7PH | EE3.5, CB7.1, CB7.1S, CB7.2E | CB7.1N, CB7.2,, CB7.3E, CB7.3, and CB7.4N dropped because they do not characterize SAV habitat |

Note: Data from all of the Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Monitoring Program's tidal tributary monitoring stations were used. In addition, data from segment CB8PH, mouth of Chesapeake Bay, were dropped from analyses relating SAV growth categories or SAV area to water quality, because none of the water quality monitoring stations in that segment characterize the small tidal creek (Little Creek) that contains the only SAV found in that segment.

